



**PROJECT NUMBER – E04-0321**  
**MARCH 2021**

Document Control Number - C00921

E: [admin@engage-es.com.au](mailto:admin@engage-es.com.au)

M: 0478 362 005

**ENGAGE  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
SERVICES**

ABN 13 629 353 662

**GROUNDWATER  
MONITORING**

**SCONE WASTE  
FACILITY  
NOBLET ROAD  
SCONE NSW**



---

**DOCUMENT CONTROL INFORMATION**

**Project Name** – Groundwater Monitoring – Scone Waste Facility –Quarterly Monitoring Round

**Client** - Upper Hunter Shire Council

**Project Number** – E04-0321

**Prepared** – Stephen Challinor

**Reviewed By and Approved for Release By** – STC/ CMM

**Document Control Number** – C00921

**Comments** – Final Copy

**Date** – 6-4-2021

OFFICE  
113 Reservoir Rd  
Glendale NSW 2285

Ph: 0478 362 005

Email: [admin@engage-es.com.au](mailto:admin@engage-es.com.au)

FIELD OFFICE  
Unit 1, 104 George St  
Singleton NSW 2330

Ph: 0478 364 588

Engage Environmental Services Pty Limited: ABN 13 629 353 662



## **WAIVER/DISCLAIMER/LIMITATION**

The information contained in these documents is confidential, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Engage Environmental Services Pty Limited (Engage). Engage undertakes all exercises with reasonable skill and professional attention in the provision of services, including advice to the Client.

The opinions expressed are in good faith and while every care has been taken in preparing these documents, Engage makes no representations and gives no warranties of whatever nature in respect of these documents, including but not limited to the accuracy or completeness of any information, facts and/or opinions contained therein.

Engage, the directors, employees and agents cannot be held liable for the use of and reliance of the opinions, estimates, assumptions and findings in these documents.

Responsibility and any liability arising from misinterpretation or misuse by third parties as to the contents of the reports are not Engage's responsibility and will not be liable.

Information supplied, in electronic form, print form or verbally expressed to Engage is not verified for accuracy, validity, completeness or comprehensiveness unless part of the project being undertaken is a third-party view. Information supplied is deemed to be complete and accurate.

Where site inspections, monitoring, or other fieldwork have taken place, the report is based on the information supplied by the client, observations, laboratory results and any discussions with regulatory authorities.



---

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

The following is a list of common abbreviations used in the Contamination Sector within environmental reports.

<b>B(a)P</b>	Benzo(a)Pyrene
<b>BGL</b>	Below Ground Level
<b>BTEX</b>	Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, Xylene
<b>CLM</b>	Contaminated Land Management
<b>CSM</b>	Conceptual Site Model
<b>DA</b>	Development Application
<b>DP</b>	Deposited Plan
<b>DQI</b>	Data Quality Indicator
<b>DQO</b>	Data Quality Objective
<b>EIL</b>	Ecological Investigation Level
<b>EPA</b>	Environment Protection Authority (NSW)
<b>EPL</b>	Environmental Protection License
<b>ESL</b>	Ecological Screening Level
<b>LOR</b>	Limit of Reporting
<b>LOT</b>	Allotment
<b>MW</b>	Monitoring Well
<b>NATA</b>	National Association of Testing Authorities
<b>NEPC</b>	National Environment Protection Council
<b>NEPM</b>	National Environment Protection Measure
<b>NSW</b>	New South Wales
<b>OCP</b>	Organochlorine Pesticides
<b>OEH</b>	Office of Environmental and Heritage
<b>OPP</b>	Organophosphorus Pesticides
<b>PAH</b>	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
<b>PCOC</b>	Potential Contaminant of Concern
<b>PCB</b>	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
<b>QA/QC</b>	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
<b>SAC</b>	Site Acceptance Criteria
<b>SEPP</b>	State Environmental Planning Policy
<b>SWL</b>	Standing Water Level
<b>TCLP</b>	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
<b>TRH</b>	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
<b>UHSC</b>	Upper Hunter Shire Council
<b>VOC</b>	Volatile Organic Compounds
<b>WHS</b>	Work Health Safety



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION... 1
General... 1
Briefing... 1
2.0 SITE CRITERIA AND SAMPLING FREQUENCY... 2
3.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY ... 3
Groundwater Sampling... 3
4.0 RESULTS ... 4
5.0 DISCUSSION ... 9
MWA... 9
MWB... 9
MWC... 9
MWD ... 10
MWE... 10
Site and Maintenance ... 10
6.0 CONCLUSIONS... 11
REFERENCES ... 12

FIGURES

Figure 1 Site layout with sample locations

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1 DATA LOG
Attachment 2 NATA Accredited Laboratory Results
Attachment 3 YSI water quality meter calibration certificate

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### General

Under the requirements of the NSW EPA Environmental Protection Licence (EPL) 5863, Upper Hunter Shire Council (UHSC) is required to conduct quarterly and annual groundwater monitoring of the Scone Waste Facility located on Noblet Road, Scone, NSW, 2337.

The Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report provides a snapshot of the groundwater conditions at the Site in relation to the current Site Criteria and satisfies the groundwater monitoring requirements of the EPL.

The Scone Waste Facility is an active landfill, it has the potential to be a polluting activity or to adversely impact the groundwater within the immediate vicinity and down hydraulic gradient of the site if there was a leak within the landfill.

Engage Environmental Services (Engage) was commissioned by UHSC to undertake this quarterly round of groundwater monitoring at the site. The quarterly groundwater monitoring was carried out on 10<sup>th</sup> March 2021.

This report has been prepared utilising information supplied by the client, publicly accessible information, information obtained as part of the onsite fieldwork and analysis, information from Government bodies and from experience, knowledge, and current industry practice.

### Briefing

The briefing provided by Upper Hunter Shire Council and contained within EPL 5863 indicates that quarterly groundwater monitoring is required at five locations on the site, monitoring wells A to E (MWA-MWE). Monitoring Well D is located within the landfill and the monitoring well accesses the perched water table (leachate) within the landfill. Comparisons against established criteria and historical data allow for trending of data. Trending of data can highlight seasonal variations, increases in analyte concentrations, decreases in analyte concentrations and fluctuations within the dataset. Over a time period the dataset can reveal increasing/decreasing trends highlighting potential site issues.

Refer to **Figure 1: Site Layout with Sample Locations**

## 2.0 SITE CRITERIA AND SAMPLING FREQUENCY

The groundwater analytical suite and sampling frequency were provided by UHSC and the EPL. Each of the wells have the same sampling regime and analytical suite for sample analysis. The site criterion are sourced from the Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (ANZW 2018) 95% trigger values and National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) 2013, unless otherwise stated.

**Table 1: Analytes, Site Criteria and Sampling Frequency for Groundwater Monitoring Wells - Quarterly.**

	Analytes/Pollutant	Units	Site Criteria NEPM	Sampling Frequency
			2013 and ANZW 2018 Fresh Water 95%	
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	Quarterly
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	Quarterly
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	Quarterly
<b>PHENOLS</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	Quarterly
<b>OCP</b>	<b>Organochlorine Pesticide<sup>3</sup> (OCP)</b>	mg/L	0.00001	Quarterly
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	Quarterly
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	Quarterly
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	50	Quarterly
	<b>Total organic carbon</b>	mg/L	4	Quarterly
	<b>Electrical conductivity</b>	µS/cm	NA	Quarterly

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.

### **3.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY**

#### **Groundwater Sampling**

The five well locations were identified on the site. The site map was cross-referenced to the markings on the monitoring wells to ensure the correct wells were being sampled. Purging and sampling of monitoring wells was conducted in accordance with the NEPM (NEPC, 2013) and the *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination* (NSW DECC, 2007).

Purging is the process of removing stagnant water from a well, immediately prior to sampling, causing its replacement by groundwater from the adjacent formation that is representative of actual aquifer conditions. In order to determine when a well has been adequately purged, the physical parameters (pH  $\pm$  0.1 unit, electrical conductivity  $\pm$  5%, temperature  $\pm$  0.20, reduction-oxidation (redox)  $\pm$  10%; and dissolved oxygen  $\pm$  10%.) are monitored while the groundwater is removed during purging.

The physical parameters were measured at regular intervals using a YSI Quatro Pro Plus Water Quality Meter. Stable conditions were indicated by monitoring for three consecutive readings of the physical parameters.

Collection of samples were direct into laboratory issued sampling containers for specific analytes. Samples were obtained using a disposable bailer. Care was taken so the bailer did not contact the sample container. All samples were collected and filled into the correct sample containers, a meniscus was formed on each sampling container prior to sealing to reduce or eliminate head space. The samples were placed immediately into a chilled esky to prevent the loss of potential volatile components.

Decontamination procedures between sampling events and sampling locations was undertaken. Sampling equipment was cleaned before and after sampling to prevent cross contamination. The cleaning procedure included:

- New nitrile disposable gloves for each well;
- Washing and wipe down with phosphate free laboratory grade detergent;
- Rinsing of brush before using brush on equipment;
- Using a brush on equipment if necessary;
- Rinsing with deionised water and wipe down with new wipe if necessary; and,
- New disposable bailer used for each well.

Appropriate decontamination procedures were appropriate during groundwater sampling.



## 4.0 RESULTS

The five groundwater monitoring wells were sampled during the March 2021 sampling event, results are detailed in **Tables 2 to 6**. Comparisons have been made to the previous round of monitoring (December 2020). Refer to **Attachment 1 – NATA Accredited Laboratory Results** and **Attachment 3 – Data Log**.

There were no exceedances of the site criteria for March in MWA. Refer to Table 2.

**Table 2 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison Dec 2020–March 2021 (MWA)**

	Analytes	Units	Site Criteria (mg/L)	MWA Dec 2020	MWA March 2021
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	600	610
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	480	520
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	7200	6800
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	<LOR	0.1
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	2.8	2.9
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	1200	1300
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	110	55
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	<LOR	<LOR
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	0.011	0.01
<b>Phenols</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	<LOR	<LOR
<b>OCPs</b>	<b>OCP<sup>3</sup></b>	mg/L	0.00001	<LOR	<LOR
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	6.7	6.8
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	1900	2100
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	<LOR	0.006
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	0.7	0.49	0.64
	<b>Total Organic Carbon</b>	mg/L	4	4	3
	<b>EC</b>	µS/cm	NA	19000	19000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.

There was one exceedance of the site criteria for March in MWB; TOC at 5mg/L. Refer to Table 3.

**Table 3 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison Dec 2020–March 2021  
(MWB)**

	Analytes	Units	Site Criteria (mg/L)	MWB Dec 2020	MWB March 2021
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	520	480
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	420	420
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	4900	5100
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	0.2	0.2
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	2.3	2.4
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	720	720
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	85	96
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	<LOR	<LOR
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	0.008	0.008
<b>OCP</b>	<b>OCP<sup>3</sup></b>	mg/L	0.00001	<LOR	<LOR
<b>PHENOLS</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	<LOR	<LOR
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	7	7
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	1400	1500
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	<LOR	0.008
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	0.7	0.53	0.55
	<b>Total Organic Carbon (TOC)</b>	mg/L	4	4	5
	<b>EC</b>	µS/cm	NA	14000	14000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.

There were two exceedances of the site criteria for June in MWC; Manganese and TOC at concentrations of 3.2mg/L and 8mg/L respectively. Refer to Table 4.

**Table 4 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison Dec 2020–March 2021  
(MWC)**

	Analytes	Units	Site Criteria (mg/L)	MWC Dec 2020	MWC March 2021
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	390	360
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	810	870
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	5700	4200
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	0.1	0.2
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	2	2
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	600	560
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	120	130
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	<LOR	<LOR
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>
<b>PHENOLS</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	<LOR	<LOR
<b>OCP</b>	<b>OCP<sup>3</sup></b>	mg/L	0.00001	<LOR	<LOR
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	7.1	7
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	1700	1800
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	0.018	0.013
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	0.7	0.23	0.15
	<b>Total Organic Carbon (TOC)</b>	mg/L	4	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>EC</b>	µS/cm	NA	14000	13000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.

MWD is a leachate monitoring well which provides access to the perched landfill leachate water table. The Site Criteria for this particular well is only used as a general indicator of the leachate water quality. Refer to Table 5.

**Table 5 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison Dec 2020–March 2021  
(MWD)**

	Analytes	Units	Site Criteria (mg/L)	MWD (leachate) Dec 2020	MWD (leachate) March 2021
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	120	160
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	2200	1600
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	2400	1400
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	0.2	0.2
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	160	94
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	210	160
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	38	23
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	0.19	<b>0.47</b>
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	0.22	0.42
<b>PHENOLS</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	<LOR	<LOR
<b>OCP</b>	<b>OCP<sup>3</sup></b>	mg/L	0.00001	<LOR	<LOR
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	7.7	7.5
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	1200	860
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	<b>260</b>	<b>150</b>
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	0.7	0.058	<LOR
	<b>Total Organic Carbon (TOC)</b>	mg/L	4	<b>190</b>	<b>120</b>
	<b>EC</b>	µS/cm	NA	9800	7000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.

There was one exceedance of the site criteria for June in MWE, TOC at concentrations of 6 mg/L. Refer to Table 6.

**Table 6 –Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison Dec 2020-March 2021  
(MWE)**

	Analytes	Units	Threshold Criteria (mg/L)	MWE June 2020	MWE Sept 2020
<b>IONS</b>	<b>Calcium</b>	mg/L	NA	79	92
	<b>Alkalinity (total)</b>	mg/L	NA	1100	1100
	<b>Chloride</b>	mg/L	NA	670	800
	<b>Fluoride</b>	mg/L	NA	0.4	0.4
	<b>Potassium<sup>1</sup></b>	mg/L	410	0.8	1
	<b>Magnesium</b>	mg/L	NA	89	110
	<b>Sulphate</b>	mg/L	NA	150	190
<b>HEAVY METALS</b>	<b>Iron</b>	mg/L	0.3	0.069	<LOR
	<b>Manganese</b>	mg/L	1.9	0.089	0.33
<b>PHENOLS</b>	<b>Total phenolics</b>	mg/L	0.32	<LOR	<LOR
<b>OCP</b>	<b>OCP<sup>3</sup></b>	mg/L	0.00001	<LOR	<LOR
<b>MISC. INORGANICS</b>	<b>pH</b>	pH	6.5 – 8	7.4	7.2
	<b>Sodium</b>	mg/L	NA	710	790
	<b>Ammonia<sup>2</sup></b>	mg/L	0.9	0.063	0.006
	<b>Nitrate</b>	mg/L	0.7	<LOR	0.002
	<b>Total Organic Carbon (TOC)</b>	mg/L	4	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>EC</b>	µS/c	NA	3700	4400

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.

3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs

## 5.0 DISCUSSION

The inferred hydraulic gradient for the site is a down gradient towards Parsons Gully to the west. The location of the four wells surrounding the landfill place wells MWA, MWB and MWC down-hydraulic gradient and well MWE up-hydraulic gradient of the landfill. Well MWD is located within the perched landfill water table, this enables access to the leachate within the landfill.

The following is a summary of the significant results for March 2021 in relation to the Site Criteria. Key increasing trends, decreasing trends and exceedances of the threshold criteria are indicated.

### **MWA**

MWA is located in the north west section of the site and is considered to be a down-hydraulic gradient monitoring well. There is farmland adjoining to the north and west of this location.

All reported analyte concentrations are consistent with previous monitoring data

### **MWB**

MWB is located in the south west section of the site and is considered to be a down-hydraulic gradient monitoring well. There is farmland to the south and west of this location. The well has remained relatively stable. There was exceedance of the site criteria. Changes at MWB include;

- The TOC concentration has increased from 4mg/L from 5mg/L. Now slightly over the site criteria of (4mg/L).

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

### **MWC**

MWC is located on the southern boundary of the site, down hydraulic gradient of the landfill and onsite dam. There is farmland to the south of well, along with a stand of vegetation immediately south of the well. This well has shown increased turbidity compared to other wells with sedimentation in observations from the field. There were two concentrations which exceeded the site criteria. The following changes have occurred in the water quality of MWC:

- A concentration of Manganese (3.2 mg/L) was reported in MWB exceeding the Site Criteria (1.9 mg/L). This is a slight decrease from the previous reporting period (3.3 mg/L);
- A concentration of TOC (8 mg/L) was reported in MWC exceeding the Site Criteria (4 mg/L), this is an increase from the previous monitoring period (7mg/L).

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

### **MWD**

The water collected and analysed from well MWD is landfill leachate and as such the Site Criteria is not used to compare the results against. The results of MWD are used as an indicator of current conditions within the landfill with trends and seasonal variations apparent. MWD is also to be used as a comparison to the external monitoring wells.

The following changes occurred in the water quality of the landfill leachate well MWD:

- Ammonia has decreased to a concentration of 150 mg/L;
- Iron concentration of 0.47 mg/L has increased since Dec 2020 concentration of 0.19 mg/L; and,
- TOC has decreased from 190 mg/L to a concentration of 120 mg/L.

### **MWE**

MWE is located on the eastern boundary of the site and is considered to be an up-gradient groundwater monitoring well. There are a series of dams to the east of the well. The following changes have occurred in the water quality of MWC:

- The TOC concentration has decreased from 8mg/L to 6mg/L, still exceeding site criteria.

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

The following analytes exceeded the Threshold Criteria during the March 2021 sampling event, excluding the Leachate Monitoring well (MWD); TOC in MWB, MWC and MWE and Manganese in MWC. Refer to **Attachment 3** – Data Log.

### **Site and Maintenance**

No immediate maintenance required

## **6.0 CONCLUSIONS**

There are seasonal fluctuations observed with regional groundwater conditions. Trending of these analytes over time may indicate a seasonal fluctuation, an anomaly or highlight an issue on the site (or surrounding area). The trending of analytes occurs in the annual groundwater monitoring report with a running comparison in the quarterly monitoring reports.

It is apparent that the previously observed fluctuations are beginning to settle with results obtained appearing more stable than previous monitoring periods. The year of monitoring periods occurred during a time of drought through to the breaking of the drought. The results obtained during this monitoring period appear to be an accurate representation of the site health during stable times.

The results and discussion of the laboratory sample analysis from the Scone Waste Facility during the March 2021 quarterly sampling event displayed several ongoing exceedances of the Site Criteria from the previous monitoring period.

The following analytes exceeded the Site Criteria for the March 2021 sampling event; TOC in MWB, MWC and MWE and Manganese in MWC

Continued sampling and data collection will allow robust trending and statistical analysis of data to occur.

The next water sampling event will be a quarterly monitoring event which will be undertaken in June 2021.



## REFERENCES

- *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for the Management of Contaminated Sites* (ANZECC/NHMRC 1992);
- *Australia and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality* (ANZW, 2018);
- *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, National Water Quality Management Strategy 2011*;
- *Contaminated Land Management Act 1997* (NSW);
- *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites* (NSW EPA 2011);
- *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines on Duty to Report Contamination under the Contamination Land Management Act 1997* (NSW DECC, 2009);
- *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination* (NSW DEC, 2007);
- *Contaminated Sites: Guidelines on Significant Risk of Harm from Contaminated Land and the Duty to Report* (NSW EPA 1999);
- *Contaminated Sites: Sampling Design Guidelines* (NSW EPA 1995);
- *Environmental Guidelines: Solid Waste Landfills* (NSW EPA, 1996);
- *Environmental Guidelines Solid Waste Landfills* Second edition, (NSW EPA 2016);
- *Health - Based Soil Investigation Levels*, Imray, P & Langley, A, *National Environmental Health Forum Monographs, Soil Series No. 2 (2nd Ed)*, South Australian Health Commission (NEHF 1998);
- *National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (No.1)* (NEPM, 2013) as amended;
- *Storage and Handling of Dangerous Goods Code of Practice 2005*;
- *Work Health and Safety Act 2011* (NSW) and associated regulations.

**FIGURE**  
**SITE LAYOUT WITH SAMPLE**  
**LOCATIONS**



**Legend**

● Monitoring Well Location

Image: Google Maps 2019



ENGAGE Environmental  
 Services Pty Limited  
 113 Reservoir Rd  
 Glendale NSW 2285  
 0478 362005

Title: **Figure 1 - Site Layout and Well Locations**

Client	Project No.	Figure No	Date
UHSC	E04-0619	1	17/6/2019
admin@engage-es.com.au	Scale NA	Compiled SC	Revision 3

**ATTACHMENT A**  
**DATA LOG**

ENGAGE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES			Threshold Criteria	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.3	NA	0.00001	NA	6.5–8	NA	0.9	0.7	NA	4	0.32	NA		
			Units	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	pH	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	μS/cm
			Analytes	Calcium	Alkalinity	Chloride	Fluoride	Iron	Magnesium	Manganese	Organochlorine pesticides (OCP)	Potassium	pH	Sodium	Ammonia	Nitrate	Sulfate	Total organic carbon	Total phenolics	Electrical conductivity (EC)	
			Monitoring frequency	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	
MWA	210422	10/03/2021		610	520	6800	0.1	<0.010	1300	0.01	<0.0002	2.9	6.8	2100	0.006	0.64	55	3	<0.05	19000	
MWB	210422	10/03/2021		480	420	5100	0.2	<0.010	720	0.008	<0.0002	2.4	7	1500	0.008	0.55	96	5	<0.05	14000	
MWC	210422	10/03/2021		360	870	4200	0.2	<0.010	560	3.2	<0.0002	2	7	1800	0.013	0.15	130	8	<0.05	13000	
MWD	210422	10/03/2021		160	1600	1400	0.2	0.47	160	0.42	<0.0002	94	7.5	860	150	<0.01	23	120	<0.05	7000	
MWE	210422	10/03/2021		92	1100	800	0.4	<0.010	110	0.33	<0.0002	1	7.2	790	0.006	0.02	190	6	<0.05	4400	

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**NATA ACCREDITED LABORATORY RESULTS**

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 264115

### Client Details

<b>Client</b>	Engage Environmental Services
<b>Attention</b>	Stephen Challinor
<b>Address</b>	113 Reservoir Rd, GLENDALE, NSW, 2285

### Sample Details

<b>Your Reference</b>	<b><u>E04-0321-UHSC</u></b>
<b>Number of Samples</b>	5 water
<b>Date samples received</b>	12/03/2021
<b>Date completed instructions received</b>	12/03/2021

### Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.  
 Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.  
 Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.  
**Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.**


### Report Details

<b>Date results requested by</b>	19/03/2021
<b>Date of Issue</b>	18/03/2021
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.	
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing. <b>Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *</b>	

#### **Results Approved By**

Diego Bigolin, Team Leader, Inorganics  
 Dragana Tomas, Senior Chemist  
 Giovanni Agosti, Group Technical Manager

#### **Authorised By**



Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager

Organochlorine Pesticides in Water						
Our Reference		264115-1	264115-2	264115-3	264115-4	264115-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date extracted	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Date analysed	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
alpha-BHC	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
HCB	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
beta-BHC	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
gamma-BHC	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Heptachlor	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
delta-BHC	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Aldrin	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
gamma-Chlordane	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan I	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDE	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Dieldrin	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endrin	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan II	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDD	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDT	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Methoxychlor	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Surrogate TCMX	%	109	104	101	100	100



Total Phenolics in Water						
Our Reference		264115-1	264115-2	264115-3	264115-4	264115-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date extracted	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Date analysed	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Total Phenolics (as Phenol)	mg/L	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

HM in water - dissolved						
Our Reference		264115-1	264115-2	264115-3	264115-4	264115-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Date analysed	-	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	<10	<10	<10	470	<10
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	10	8	3,200	420	330

Ion Balance						
Our Reference		264115-1	264115-2	264115-3	264115-4	264115-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	15/03/2021	12/03/2021
Date analysed	-	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	15/03/2021	12/03/2021
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	610	480	360	160	92
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	2.9	2.4	2.0	94	1
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	2,100	1,500	1,800	860	790
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	1,300	720	560	160	110
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH <sup>-</sup> ) as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	520	420	870	1,600	1,100
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Total Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	520	420	870	1,600	1,100
Sulphate, SO <sub>4</sub>	mg/L	55	96	130	23	190
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	6,800	5,100	4,200	1,400	800
Ionic Balance	%	5.0	-2.0	1.0	-8.0	0

Miscellaneous Inorganics						
Our Reference		264115-1	264115-2	264115-3	264115-4	264115-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021	10/03/2021
Type of sample		water	water	water	water	water
Date prepared	-	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Date analysed	-	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Ammonia as N in water	mg/L	0.006	0.008	0.013	150	0.006
Fluoride, F	mg/L	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	3	5	8	120	6
Nitrate as N in water	mg/L	0.64	0.55	0.15	<0.01	0.02
pH	pH Units	6.8	7.0	7.0	7.5	7.2
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	19,000	14,000	13,000	7,000	4,400

Method ID	Methodology Summary
<b>Inorg-001</b>	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
<b>Inorg-002</b>	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
<b>Inorg-006</b>	Alkalinity - determined titrimetrically in accordance with APHA latest edition, 2320-B.
<b>Inorg-026</b>	Fluoride determined by ion selective electrode (ISE) in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-F-C.
<b>Inorg-031</b>	Total Phenolics by segmented flow analyser (in line distillation with colourimetric finish). Solids are extracted in a caustic media prior to analysis.
<b>Inorg-040</b>	The concentrations of the major ions (mg/L) are converted to milliequivalents and summed. The ionic balance should be within +/- 10% ie total anions = total cations +/-10%.
<b>Inorg-055</b>	Nitrate - determined colourimetrically. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Soils are analysed following a water extraction.
<b>Inorg-057</b>	Ammonia - determined colourimetrically, based on APHA latest edition 4500-NH3 F. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Soils are analysed following a KCl extraction.
<b>Inorg-079</b>	TOC determined using a TOC analyser using the combustion method. Dissolved requires filtering prior to determination. Analysis using APHA latest edition 5310B.
<b>Inorg-081</b>	Anions - a range of Anions are determined by Ion Chromatography, in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4110-B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Alternatively determined by colourimetry/turbidity using Discrete Analyser.
<b>Metals-020</b>	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.
<b>Metals-022</b>	Determination of various metals by ICP-MS.
<b>Org-022/025</b>	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MSMS.

Client Reference: E04-0321-UHSC

QUALITY CONTROL: Organochlorine Pesticides in Water				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date extracted	-			15/03/2021	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	15/03/2021	[NT]
Date analysed	-			15/03/2021	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	15/03/2021	[NT]
alpha-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	76	[NT]
HCB	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
beta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	72	[NT]
gamma-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Heptachlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	70	[NT]
delta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Aldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	70	[NT]
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	73	[NT]
gamma-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan I	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDE	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	72	[NT]
Dieldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	72	[NT]
Endrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	71	[NT]
Endosulfan II	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDD	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	70	[NT]
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
pp-DDT	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	79	[NT]
Methoxychlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	109	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	99	[NT]

Client Reference: E04-0321-UHSC

QUALITY CONTROL: Total Phenolics in Water					Duplicate			Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	264115-2
Date extracted	-			15/03/2021	1	15/03/2021	15/03/2021		15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Date analysed	-			15/03/2021	1	15/03/2021	15/03/2021		15/03/2021	15/03/2021
Total Phenolics (as Phenol)	mg/L	0.05	Inorg-031	<0.05	1	<0.05	<0.05	0	102	91

Client Reference: E04-0321-UHSC

QUALITY CONTROL: HM in water - dissolved				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date prepared	-			15/03/2021	1	15/03/2021	15/03/2021		15/03/2021	[NT]
Date analysed	-			15/03/2021	1	15/03/2021	15/03/2021		15/03/2021	[NT]
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	<10	1	<10	<10	0	96	[NT]
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	5	Metals-022	<5	1	10	10	0	97	[NT]



Client Reference: E04-0321-UHSC

QUALITY CONTROL: Ion Balance				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	264115-2
Date prepared	-			12/03/2021	1	12/03/2021	12/03/2021		12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Date analysed	-			12/03/2021	1	12/03/2021	12/03/2021		12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	610	[NT]		97	[NT]
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	2.9	[NT]		98	[NT]
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	2100	[NT]		93	[NT]
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	1300	[NT]		107	[NT]
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH <sup>-</sup> ) as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	<5	<5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	520	500	4	[NT]	[NT]
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	<5	<5	0	[NT]	[NT]
Total Alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	520	500	4	107	[NT]
Sulphate, SO <sub>4</sub>	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	1	55	56	2	113	111
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	1	6800	7000	3	119	#
Ionic Balance	%		Inorg-040	[NT]	1	5.0	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]

Client Reference: E04-0321-UHSC

QUALITY CONTROL: Miscellaneous Inorganics				Duplicate				Spike Recovery %		
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	264115-2
Date prepared	-			12/03/2021	1	12/03/2021	12/03/2021		12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Date analysed	-			12/03/2021	1	12/03/2021	12/03/2021		12/03/2021	12/03/2021
Ammonia as N in water	mg/L	0.005	Inorg-057	<0.005	1	0.006	0.008	29	107	114
Fluoride, F	mg/L	0.1	Inorg-026	<0.1	1	0.1	0.1	0	93	76
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	1	Inorg-079	<1	1	3	[NT]		97	94
Nitrate as N in water	mg/L	0.005	Inorg-055	<0.005	1	0.64	0.66	3	115	115
pH	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	1	6.8	6.9	1	102	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	1	19000	19000	0	103	[NT]

Result Definitions	
<b>NT</b>	Not tested
<b>NA</b>	Test not required
<b>INS</b>	Insufficient sample for this test
<b>PQL</b>	Practical Quantitation Limit
<b>&lt;</b>	Less than
<b>&gt;</b>	Greater than
<b>RPD</b>	Relative Percent Difference
<b>LCS</b>	Laboratory Control Sample
<b>NS</b>	Not specified
<b>NEPM</b>	National Environmental Protection Measure
<b>NR</b>	Not Reported

## Quality Control Definitions

<b>Blank</b>	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.
<b>Duplicate</b>	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.
<b>Matrix Spike</b>	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.
<b>LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)</b>	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.
<b>Surrogate Spike</b>	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.
Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.	
The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.	
Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2	

## Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

## Report Comments

MISC\_INORG: Nitrate as N PQL has been raised due to matrix interferences from analytes (other than those being tested) in the sample/s. Samples were diluted and reanalysed however same results were achieved.

### ION\_BALANCE

# Percent recovery is not possible to report due to the high concentration of the element/s in the sample/s. However an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS.

Dissolved Metals: no filtered, preserved sample was received, therefore the unpreserved sample was filtered through 0.45µm filter at the lab.

Note: there is a possibility some elements may be underestimated.

**ATTACHMENT C**  
**CALIBRATION**  
**CERTIFICATE**

## Multi Parameter Water Meter



Instrument **YSI Quatro Pro Plus**  
 Serial No. **11C100763**

Air-Met Scientific Pty Ltd  
 1300 137 067

Item	Test	Pass	Comments
Battery	Charge Condition	✓	
	Fuses	✓	
	Capacity	✓	
Switch/keypad	Operation	✓	
	Display	Intensity	✓
Grill Filter	Operation	✓	
	(segments)		
PCB	Condition	✓	
	Seal	✓	
Connectors	Condition	✓	
Sensor	1. pH	✓	
	2. mV	✓	
	3. EC	✓	
	4. D.O	✓	
	5. Temp	✓	
Alarms	Beeper		
	Settings		
Software	Version		
Data logger	Operation		
Download	Operation		
Other tests:			

### Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications:

Sensor	Serial no	Standard Solutions	Certified	Solution Bottle Number	Instrument Reading
1. pH 7.00		pH 7.00		355072	pH 6.79
2. pH 4.00		pH 4.00		351412	pH 3.96
3. pH 10.00		pH 10.00		355386	pH 9.55
3. mV		229.6mV		358632/358634	230.2mV
4. EC		2.76 mS		350510	2.74mS
5. D.O		0.00ppm		10959	0.00ppm
6. Temp		21.7°C		MultiTherm	21.2°C

Calibrated by: Kylie Rawlings

Calibration date: 8/03/2021

Next calibration due: 7/04/2021