

PROJECT NUMBER – E04-0920 SEPTEMBER 2020

ENGAGE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ABN 13 629 353 662

GROUNDWATER MONITORING

SCONE WASTE FACILITY NOBLET ROAD SCONE NSW

Document Control Number - Cooo692 E: admin@engage-es.com.au M: 0478 362 005



DOCUMENT CONTROL INFORMATION

Project Name - Groundwater Monitoring - Scone Waste Facility - Quarterly Monitoring Round

Client - Upper Hunter Shire Council

Project Number – E04-0920

Prepared – Stephen Challinor

Reviewed By and Approved for Release By - STC/ CMM

Document Control Number – C00692

Comments – Final Copy

Date - 5-10-2020

OFFICE 113 Reservoir Rd Glendale NSW 2285

Ph: 0478 362 005

Email: admin@engage-es.com.au

FIELD OFFICE Unit 1, 104 George St Singleton NSW 2330

Ph: 0478 364 588

Engage Environmental Services Pty Limited: ABN 13 629 353 662



WAIVER/DISCLAIMER/LIMITATION

The information contained in these documents is confidential, privileged and only for the information of the intended recipient and may not be used, published or redistributed without the prior written consent of Engage Environmental Services Pty Limited (Engage). Engage undertakes all exercises with reasonable skill and professional attention in the provision of services, including advice to the Client.

The opinions expressed are in good faith and while every care has been taken in preparing these documents, Engage makes no representations and gives no warranties of whatever nature in respect of these documents, including but not limited to the accuracy or completeness of any information, facts and/or opinions contained therein.

Engage, the directors, employees and agents cannot be held liable for the use of and reliance of the opinions, estimates, assumptions and findings in these documents.

Responsibility and any liability arising from misinterpretation or misuse by third parties as to the contents of the reports are not Engage's responsibility and will not be liable.

Information supplied, in electronic form, print form or verbally expressed to Engage is not verified for accuracy, validity, completeness or comprehensiveness unless part of the project being undertaken is a third-party view. Information supplied is deemed to be complete and accurate.

Where site inspections, monitoring, or other fieldwork have taken place, the report is based on the information supplied by the client, observations, laboratory results and any discussions with regulatory authorities.



ABBREVIATIONS

The following is a list of common abbreviations used in the Contamination Sector within environmental reports.

B(a)P	Benzo(a)Pyrene
BGL	Below Ground Level
BTEX	Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, Xylene
CLM	Contaminated Land Management
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DA	Development Application
DP	Deposited Plan
DQI	Data Quality Indicator
DQO	Data Quality Objective
EIL	Ecological Investigation Level
EPA	Environment Protection Authority (NSW)
EPL	Environmental Protection License
ESL	Ecological Screening Level
LOR	Limit of Reporting
LOT	Allotment
MW	Monitoring Well
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NEPC	National Environment Protection Council
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NSW	New South Wales
OCP	Organochlorine Pesticides
OEH	Office of Environmental and Heritage
OPP	Organophosphorus Pesticides
PAH	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PCOC	Potential Contaminant of Concern
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
SAC	Site Acceptance Criteria
SEPP	State Environmental Planning Policy
SWL	Standing Water Level
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
TRH	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
UHSC	Upper Hunter Shire Council
VOC	Volatile Organic Compounds
WHS	Work Health Safety



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>1.0</u> <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
<u>General</u> 1
Briefing1
2.0 SITE CRITERIA AND SAMPLING FREQUENCY
3.0 <u>SAMPLING METHODOLOGY</u>
<u>Groundwater Sampling</u> 3
4.0 <u>RESULTS</u> 4
5.0 <u>DISCUSSION</u> 10
<u>MWA</u> 10
<u>MWB</u> 10
<u>MWC</u> 10
<u>MWD</u> 11
<u>MWE</u> 11
Site and Maintenance 12
<u>6.0</u> <u>CONCLUSIONS</u> 13
<u>REFERENCES</u> 14

FIGURES

Figure 1Site layout with sample locations

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1	NATA Accredited Laboratory Results
Attachment 2	YSI water quality meter calibration certificate
Attachment 3	Data log



1.0 INTRODUCTION General

Under the requirements of the NSW EPA Environmental Protection Licence (EPL) 5863, Upper Hunter Shire Council (UHSC) is required to conduct quarterly and annual groundwater monitoring of the Scone Waste Facility located on Noblet Road, Scone, NSW, 2337.

The Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report provides a snapshot of the groundwater conditions at the Site in relation to the current Site Criteria and satisfies the groundwater monitoring requirements of the EPL.

The Scone Waste Facility is an active landfill, it has the potential to be a polluting activity or to adversely impact the groundwater within the immediate vicinity and down hydraulic gradient of the site if there was a leak within the landfill.

Engage Environmental Services (Engage) was commissioned by UHSC to undertake this quarterly round of groundwater monitoring at the site. The quarterly groundwater monitoring was carried out on 16th September 2020.

This report has been prepared utilising information supplied by the client, publicly accessible information, information obtained as part of the onsite fieldwork and analysis, information from Government bodies and from experience, knowledge, and current industry practice.

Briefing

The briefing provided by Upper Hunter Shire Council and contained within EPL 5863 indicates that quarterly groundwater monitoring is required at five locations on the site, monitoring wells A to E (MWA-MWE). Monitoring Well D is located within the landfill and the monitoring well accesses the perched water table (leachate) within the landfill. Comparisons against established criteria and historical data allow for trending of data. Trending of data can highlight seasonal variations, increases in analyte concentrations, decreases in analyte concentrations and fluctuations within the dataset. Over a time period the dataset can reveal increasing/decreasing trends highlighting potential site issues. The on-site Dam that is located up gradient of monitoring well C was also sampled to determine if any correlation exists between the dam and the increased turbidity that is visible in well C.

Refer to Figure 1: Site Layout with Sample Locations



2.0 SITE CRITERIA AND SAMPLING FREQUENCY

The groundwater analytical suite and sampling frequency were provided by UHSC and the EPL. Each of the wells have the same sampling regime and analytical suite for sample analysis. The site criterion are sourced from the Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality (ANZW 2018) 95% trigger values and National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (NEPM) 2013, unless otherwise stated.

	Analytes/Pollutant	Units	Site Criteria NEPM 2013 and ANZW 2018 Fresh Water 95%	Sampling Frequency
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	Quarterly
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
HEAVY	Iron	mg/L	0.3	Quarterly
METALS	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	Quarterly
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	Quarterly
ОСР	Organochlorine Pesticide ³ (OCP)	mg/L	0.00001	Quarterly
	рН	рН	6.5 – 8	Quarterly
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	Quarterly
MISC.	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	Quarterly
INORGANICS	Nitrate	mg/L	50	Quarterly
	Total organic carbon	mg/L	4	Quarterly
	Electrical conductivity	μS/cm	NA	Quarterly

Table 1: Analytes, Site Criteria and Sampling Frequency for Groundwater MonitoringWells - Quarterly.

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance. 3 - A Trigger value for DDT is used in the absence of a criteria value for Total OCP. DDT has the lowest criteria of OCPs.



3.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY Groundwater Sampling

The five well locations were identified on the site as well as the on-site dam. The site map was crossreferenced to the markings on the monitoring wells to ensure the correct wells were being sampled. Purging and sampling of monitoring wells was conducted in accordance with the NEPM (NEPC, 2013) and the *Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination* (NSW DECC, 2007).

Purging is the process of removing stagnant water from a well, immediately prior to sampling, causing its replacement by groundwater from the adjacent formation that is representative of actual aquifer conditions. In order to determine when a well has been adequately purged, the physical parameters (pH \pm 0.1 unit, electrical conductivity \pm 5%, temperature \pm 0.20, reduction-oxidation (redox) \pm 10%; and dissolved oxygen \pm 10%.) are monitored while the groundwater is removed during purging.

The physical parameters were measured at regular intervals using a YSI Quatro Pro Plus Water Quality Meter. Stable conditions were indicated by monitoring for three consecutive readings of the physical parameters.

Collection of samples were direct into laboratory issued sampling containers for specific analytes. Samples were obtained using a disposable bailer. Care was taken so the bailer did not contact the sample container. All samples were collected and filled into the correct sample containers, a meniscus was formed on each sampling container prior to sealing to reduce or eliminate head space. The samples were placed immediately into a chilled esky to prevent the loss of potential volatile components.

Decontamination procedures between sampling events and sampling locations was undertaken. Sampling equipment was cleaned before and after sampling to prevent cross contamination. The cleaning procedure included:

- New nitrile disposable gloves for each well;
- Washing and wipe down with phosphate free laboratory grade detergent;
- Rinsing of brush before using brush on equipment;
- Using a brush on equipment if necessary;
- Rinsing with deionised water and wipe down with new wipe if necessary; and,
- New disposable bailer used for each well.

Appropriate decontamination procedures were appropriate during groundwater sampling.



4.0 RESULTS

The five groundwater monitoring wells were sampled during the June 2020 sampling event, results are detailed in **Tables 2** to **6**. Comparisons have been made to the previous round of monitoring (March 2020). Refer to **Attachment 1** – NATA Accredited Laboratory Results and **Attachment 3** – Data Log.

There were no exceedances of the site criteria for September in MWA. Refer to Table 2.

			Site	MWA	MWA
	Analytes	Units	Criteria	June	Sept
			(mg/L)	2020	2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	440	570
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	500	520
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	6700	7000
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.1	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	2.8	3.0
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	940	1100
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	42	47
	Iron	mg/L	0.3	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
HEAVY METALS	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	0.024	0.037
Phenols	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
OCPs	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	pН	6.5 – 8	6.8	6.7
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	1700	1900
	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	<lor< th=""><th>0.079</th></lor<>	0.079
IVIISC. INORGANICS	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	0.57	0.014
	Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	4	4	2
	EC	μS/cm	NA	19000	19000

Table 2 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison June 2020–Sept 2020 (MWA)

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



There was no exceedances of the site criteria for September in MWB. Refer to Table 3.

Table 3 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison June 2020–Sept 2020(MWB)

			Site	MWB	MWB
	Analytes	Units	Criteria	June	Sept
			(mg/L)	2020	2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	390	520
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	410	430
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	4800	5500
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.2	0.3
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	2.3	2.6
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	560	650
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	72	83
HEAVY METALS	Iron	mg/L	0.3	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	0.01	0.007
ОСР	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	pН	6.5 – 8	7	6.8
MISC. INORGANICS	Sodium	mg/L	NA	1200	1400
	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	0.016	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	0.55	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	4	5	4
	EC	μS/cm	NA	14000	14000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



There were two exceedances of the site criteria for June in MWC; Manganese and TOC at concentrations of 2.4mg/L and 6mg/L respectively. Refer to Table 4.

Table 4 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison June 2020–Sept 2020 (MWC)

			Site	MWC	MWC
	Analytes	Units	Criteria	June	Sept
			(mg/L)	2020	2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	300	410
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	750	830
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	4400	4400
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.2	0.2
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	2	2.2
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	470	550
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	110	120
	Iron	mg/L	0.3	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	2.9	2.4
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
ОСР	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	рН	6.5 - 8	6.8	6.9
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	1400	1600
MISC. INORGANICS	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	<lor< th=""><th>0.019</th></lor<>	0.019
	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	0.67	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	4	8	6
	EC	μS/cm	NA	14000	14000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



MWD is a leachate monitoring well which provides access to the perched landfill leachate water table. The Site Criteria for this particular well is only used as a general indicator of the leachate water quality. Refer to Table 5.

Table 5 – Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison June 2020–Sept 2020(MWD)

	Analytes	Units	Site Criteria (mg/L)	MWD (leachate) June 2020	MWD (leachate) Sept 2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	94	99
IONS	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	2800	2600
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	240	2900
	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.3	0.2
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	150	180
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	220	240
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	3	12
	Iron	mg/L	0.3	1	1.1
	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	0.17	0.18
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
ОСР	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	рН	6.5 – 8	7.6	7.6
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	1200	1800
MISC. INORGANICS	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	250	290
	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	4	270	250
	EC	μS/cm	NA	11000	13000

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



There was one exceedance of the site criteria for June in MWE, TOC at concentrations of 5 mg/L. Refer to Table 6.

	Analytes	Units	Threshold Criteria (mg/L)	MWE June 2020	MWE Sept 2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	57	63
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	1000	1300
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	640	550
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.5	0.4
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	0.6	0.6
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	65	71
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	130	120
	Iron	mg/L	0.3	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	0.32	0.21
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
ОСР	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	рН	6.5 – 8	7.2	7.2
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	700	740
MISC. INORGANICS	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	0.018	0.084
	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	4	5	5
	EC	μS/c	NA	3800	3500

Table 6 –Quarterly Groundwater Results and Comparison June 2020-Sept 2020 (MWE)

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



			Threshold	Dam	MWC
	Analytes	Units	Criteria	Sept	Sept
			(mg/L)	2020	2020
	Calcium	mg/L	NA	49	410
	Alkalinity (total)	mg/L	NA	110	820
	Chloride	mg/L	NA	95	4400
IONS	Fluoride	mg/L	NA	0.2	0.2
	Potassium ¹	mg/L	410	17	2.2
	Magnesium	mg/L	NA	26	550
	Sulphate	mg/L	NA	160	120
HEAVY METALS	Iron	mg/L	0.3	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Manganese	mg/L	1.9	<lor< th=""><th>2.4</th></lor<>	2.4
PHENOLS	Total phenolics	mg/L	0.32	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
ОСР	OCP ³	mg/L	0.00001	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	рН	pН	6.5 – 8	7.8	6.9
	Sodium	mg/L	NA	71	1600
MISC. INORGANICS	Ammonia ²	mg/L	0.9	0.04	0.019
	Nitrate	mg/L	0.7	<lor< th=""><th><lor< th=""></lor<></th></lor<>	<lor< th=""></lor<>
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/L	4	14	6
	EC	μS/c	NA	890	14000

Table $7\,\text{-Water}$ Analysis Results September 2020 (Dam and MWC) comparison

Highlighted results exceed site criteria

<LOR = No Detection. Analyte is below the Laboratory LOR

1 - World Health Organisation Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality 2009, Poor (acceptable) drinking water criteria.

2 - Criteria value may not protect key species from chronic toxicity, refer to ANZW 2018 for further guidance.



5.0 DISCUSSION

The inferred hydraulic gradient for the site is a down gradient towards Parsons Gully to the west. The location of the four wells surrounding the landfill place wells MWA, MWB and MWC down-hydraulic gradient and well MWE up-hydraulic gradient of the landfill. Well MWD is located within the perched landfill water table, this enables access to the leachate within the landfill. The dam is located approximately 100metres upgradient from MWC

The following is a summary of the significant results for June 2020 in relation to the Site Criteria. Key increasing trends, decreasing trends and exceedances of the threshold criteria are indicated.

MWA

MWA is located in the north west section of the site and is considered to be a down-hydraulic gradient monitoring well. There is farmland adjoining to the north and west of this location.

All reported analyte concentrations are consistent with previous monitoring data

MWB

MWB is located in the south west section of the site and is considered to be a down-hydraulic gradient monitoring well. There is farmland to the south and west of this location. The well has remained relatively stable. There were no exceedances of the site criteria. Changes at MWB include;

• The TOC concentration has reduced to 4mg/L from 5mg/L. Now meeting site criteria (4mg/L).

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

MWC

MWC is located on the southern boundary of the site, down hydraulic gradient of the landfill and onsite dam. There is farmland to the south of well, along with a stand of vegetation immediately south of the well. This well has shown increased turbidity compared to other wells with sedimentation in observations from the field. There were two concentrations which exceeded the site criteria. The following changes have occurred in the water quality of MWC:

• A concentration of Manganese (2.4 mg/L) was reported exceeding the Site Criteria (1.9 mg/L). This is a decrease from the previous reporting period (2.9 mg/L);



- A concentration of TOC (6 mg/L) was reported exceeding the Site Criteria (4 mg/L), which is a decrease from the previous monitoring period.
- Nitrate has decreased from a concentration of 0.7 mg/L to no detection.

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

MWD

The water collected and analysed from well MWD is landfill leachate and as such the Site Criteria is not used to compare the results against. The results of MWD are used as an indicator of current conditions within the landfill with trends and seasonal variations apparent. MWD is also to be used as a comparison to the external monitoring wells.

The following changes occurred in the water quality of the landfill leachate well MWD:

- Ammonia has increased to a concentration of 290 mg/L;
- Iron concentration of 1.1 mg/L has slightly increased since July's sampling event concentration of 1 mg/L; and,
- TOC has decreased from 270 mg/L to a concentration of 250 mg/L.

MWE

MWE is located on the eastern boundary of the site and is considered to be an up-gradient groundwater monitoring well. There are a series of dams to the east of the well. The following changes have occurred in the water quality of MWC:

• The TOC concentration has remained the same at 5mg/L, still exceeding site criteria of 4mg/L.

All other analytes reported concentrations consistent with previous monitoring data.

Dam

The dam onsite is located approximately 100metres up-gradient from monitoring well C. The only exceedance for this site was the TOC at a level of 14mg/L. There are a few similarities in analytes detected in both MWC and the Dam, additional monitoring is required to determine if there is a correlation between the water in Dam and MWC. A second round of monitoring should be undertaken of the Dam in the December monitoring event.



The following analytes exceeded the Threshold Criteria during the September 2020 sampling event, excluding the Leachate Monitoring well (MWD); TOC in MWC and MWE and Manganese in MWC. Refer to **Attachment 3** – Data Log.

Site and Maintenance

The concrete surrounding the base of several of the wells are cracked. These are requiring maintenance to stop any surface water from entering the groundwater.



6.0CONCLUSIONS

There are seasonal fluctuations observed with regional groundwater conditions. Trending of these analytes over time may indicate a seasonal fluctuation, an anomaly or highlight an issue on the site (or surrounding area). The trending of analytes occurs in the annual groundwater monitoring report with a running comparison in the quarterly monitoring reports.

It is apparent that the previously observed fluctuations are beginning to settle with results obtained appearing more stable than previous monitoring periods. The past two monitoring periods occurred during a time of drought through to the breaking of the drought. The results obtained during this monitoring period appear to be an accurate representation of the site health during stable times.

The increase in turbidity of MWC has been noticed over different monitoring periods along with elevated concentrations of analytes. The Dam is up-hydraulic gradient from MWC and was considered a potential linkage to MWC and the elevated concentrations, additionally sampling of the Dam is required for correlation between the two sampling locations.

The results and discussion of the laboratory sample analysis from the Scone Waste Facility during the September 2020 quarterly sampling event displayed several ongoing exceedances of the Site Criteria from the previous monitoring period.

The following analytes exceeded the Site Criteria for the September 2020 sampling event; TOC in MWC and MWE, and Manganese in MWC

Continued sampling and data collection will allow robust trending and statistical analysis of data to occur.

The next water sampling event will be the annual monitoring event which will be undertaken in December 2020.



REFERENCES

- Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for the Management of Contaminated Sites (ANZECC/NHMRC 1992);
- Australia and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZW, 2018);
- Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, National Water Quality Management Strategy 2011;
- Contaminated Land Management Act 1997 (NSW);
- Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for Consultants Reporting on Contaminated Sites (NSW EPA 2011);
- Contaminated Sites: Guidelines on Duty to Report Contamination under the Contamination Land Management Act 1997 (NSW DECC, 2009);
- Contaminated Sites: Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Groundwater Contamination (NSW DEC, 2007);
- Contaminated Sites: Guidelines on Significant Risk of Harm from Contaminated Land and the Duty to Report (NSW EPA 1999);
- Contaminated Sites: Sampling Design Guidelines (NSW EPA 1995);
- Environmental Guidelines: Solid Waste Landfills (NSW EPA, 1996);
- Environmental Guidelines Solid Waste Landfills Second edition, (NSW EPA 2016);
- Health Based Soil Investigation Levels, Imray, P & Langley, A, National Environmental Health Forum Monographs, Soil Series No. 2 (2nd Ed), South Australian Health Commission (NEHF 1998);
- National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure (No.1) (NEPM, 2013) as amended;
- Storage and Handling of Dangerous Goods Code of Practice 2005;
- Work Health and Safety Act 2011 (NSW) and associated regulations.



FIGURE



Legend Monitoring Well Location



ENGAGE Environmental Services Pty Limited 113 Reservoir Rd Glendale NSW 2285 0478 362005

Figure 1 - Site Layout and Well Locations					
Client	Project No.	Figure No	Date		
UHSC	E04-0619	1	17/6/2019		
	Scale	Compiled	Revision		
admin@engage-es.com.au	NA	SC	3		

Image: Google Maps 2019



ATTACHMENT A NATA ACCREDITED LABORATORY RESULTS



Envirolab Services Pty Ltd ABN 37 112 535 645 12 Ashley St Chatswood NSW 2067 ph 02 9910 6200 fax 02 9910 6201 customerservice@envirolab.com.au www.envirolab.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS 251568

Client Details	
Client	Engage Environmental Services
Attention	Stephen Challinor
Address	113 Reservoir Rd, GLENDALE, NSW, 2285

Sample Details	
Your Reference	E04-0920-UHSC
Number of Samples	6 Water
Date samples received	18/09/2020
Date completed instructions received	18/09/2020

Analysis Details

Please refer to the following pages for results, methodology summary and quality control data.

Samples were analysed as received from the client. Results relate specifically to the samples as received.

Results are reported on a dry weight basis for solids and on an as received basis for other matrices.

Please refer to the last page of this report for any comments relating to the results.

Report Details	
Date results requested by	25/09/2020
Date of Issue	24/09/2020
NATA Accreditation Number 2901. This do	ocument shall not be reproduced except in full.
Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17	7025 - Testing. Tests not covered by NATA are denoted with *

Results Approved By Diego Bigolin, Team Leader, Inorganics Dragana Tomas, Senior Chemist Hannah Nguyen, Senior Chemist

Authorised By

Nancy Zhang, Laboratory Manager



Organochlorine Pesticides in Water						
Our Reference		251568-1	251568-2	251568-3	251568-4	251568-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020
Date analysed	-	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020	22/09/2020
alpha-BHC	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
нсв	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
beta-BHC	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
gamma-BHC	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Heptachlor	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
delta-BHC	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Aldrin	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
gamma-Chlordane	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan I	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDE	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Dieldrin	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endrin	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan II	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDD	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
pp-DDT	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Methoxychlor	µg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Surrogate TCMX	%	88	83	83	80	91

Organochlorine Pesticides in Water		
Our Reference		251568-6
Your Reference	UNITS	Dam
Date Sampled		16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water
Date extracted	-	22/09/2020
Date analysed	-	22/09/2020
alpha-BHC	μg/L	<0.2
НСВ	µg/L	<0.2
beta-BHC	μg/L	<0.2
gamma-BHC	µg/L	<0.2
Heptachlor	μg/L	<0.2
delta-BHC	μg/L	<0.2
Aldrin	μg/L	<0.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	<0.2
gamma-Chlordane	μg/L	<0.2
alpha-Chlordane	μg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan I	μg/L	<0.2
pp-DDE	μg/L	<0.2
Dieldrin	μg/L	<0.2
Endrin	µg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan II	μg/L	<0.2
pp-DDD	µg/L	<0.2
Endrin Aldehyde	μg/L	<0.2
pp-DDT	µg/L	<0.2
Endosulfan Sulphate	μg/L	<0.2
Methoxychlor	μg/L	<0.2
Surrogate TCMX	%	90

Total Phenolics in Water						
Our Reference		251568-1	251568-2	251568-3	251568-4	251568-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date extracted	-	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Date analysed	-	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Total Phenolics (as Phenol)	mg/L	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05

Total Phenolics in Water Our Reference 251568-6 Your Reference UNITS Dam Date Sampled 16/09/2020 Type of sample Water Date extracted 21/09/2020 --21/09/2020 Date analysed mg/L Total Phenolics (as Phenol) <0.05

Ion Balance						
Our Reference		251568-1	251568-2	251568-3	251568-4	251568-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	570	520	410	99	63
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	3.0	2.6	2.2	180	0.6
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	1,900	1,400	1,600	1,800	740
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	1,100	650	550	240	71
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH ⁻) as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	[NT]	<5
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	520	430	830	2,600	1,300
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	[NT]	<5
Total Alkalinity as CaCO₃	mg/L	520	430	830	2,600	1,300
Sulphate, SO4	mg/L	47	83	120	12	120
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	7,000	5,500	4,400	2,900	550
Ionic Balance	%	-1.0	-8.0	-2.0	-12	-3.0

Ion Balance		
Our Reference		251568-6
Your Reference	UNITS	Dam
Date Sampled		16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water
Date prepared	-	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-	18/09/2020
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	49
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	17
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	71
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	26
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH ⁻) as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	110
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	<5
Total Alkalinity as CaCO₃	mg/L	110
Sulphate, SO4	mg/L	160
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	95
Ionic Balance	%	-1.0

Miscellaneous Inorganics						
Our Reference		251568-1	251568-2	251568-3	251568-4	251568-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Ammonia as N in water	mg/L	0.079	<0.005	0.019	290	0.084
рН	pH Units	6.7	6.8	6.9	7.6	7.2
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	19,000	14,000	14,000	13,000	3,500
Nitrite as N in water	mg/L	0.014	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	2	4	6	250	5
Fluoride, F	mg/L	<0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4

Miscellaneous Inorganics		
Our Reference		251568-6
Your Reference	UNITS	Dam
Date Sampled		16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water
Date prepared	-	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-	18/09/2020
Ammonia as N in water	mg/L	0.040
pН	pH Units	7.8
Electrical Conductivity	µS/cm	890
Nitrite as N in water	mg/L	<0.005
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	14
Fluoride, F	mg/L	0.2

All metals in water-dissolved						
Our Reference		251568-1	251568-2	251568-3	251568-4	251568-5
Your Reference	UNITS	MWA	MWB	MWC	MWD	MWE
Date Sampled		16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020	16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
Date prepared	-	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Date analysed	-	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Iron-Dissolved	μg/L	<10	<10	<10	1,100	<10
Manganese-Dissolved	μg/L	37	7	2,400	180	210

All metals in water-dissolved		
Our Reference		251568-6
Your Reference	UNITS	Dam
Date Sampled		16/09/2020
Type of sample		Water
Date prepared	-	21/09/2020
Date analysed	-	21/09/2020
Iron-Dissolved	μg/L	<10
Manganese-Dissolved	μg/L	<5

Method ID	Methodology Summary
Inorg-001	pH - Measured using pH meter and electrode in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-H+. Please note that the results for water analyses are indicative only, as analysis outside of the APHA storage times.
Inorg-002	Conductivity and Salinity - measured using a conductivity cell at 25°C in accordance with APHA latest edition 2510 and Rayment & Lyons.
Inorg-006	Alkalinity - determined titrimetrically in accordance with APHA latest edition, 2320-B.
Inorg-026	Fluoride determined by ion selective electrode (ISE) in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4500-F-C.
Inorg-031	Total Phenolics by segmented flow analyser (in line distillation with colourimetric finish). Solids are extracted in a caustic media prior to analysis.
Inorg-040	The concentrations of the major ions (mg/L) are converted to milliequivalents and summed. The ionic balance should be within +/- 10% ie total anions = total cations +/-10%.
Inorg-055	Nitrite - determined colourimetrically based on APHA latest edition NO2- B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Soils are analysed following a water extraction.
Inorg-057	Ammonia - determined colourimetrically, based on APHA latest edition 4500-NH3 F. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Soils are analysed following a KCI extraction.
Inorg-079	TOC determined using a TOC analyser using the combustion method. Dissolved requires filtering prior to determination. Analysis using APHA latest edition 5310B.
Inorg-081	Anions - a range of Anions are determined by Ion Chromatography, in accordance with APHA latest edition, 4110-B. Waters samples are filtered on receipt prior to analysis. Alternatively determined by colourimetry/turbidity using Discrete Analyser.
Metals-020	Determination of various metals by ICP-AES.
Metals-022	Determination of various metals by ICP-MS.
Org-022/025	Soil samples are extracted with Dichloromethane/Acetone and waters with Dichloromethane and analysed by GC-MS/GC-MS/SC.

QUALITY CONTRO	DL: Organoc	hlorine P	esticides in Water			Du	plicate		Spike Re	covery %
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	[NT]
Date extracted	-			22/09/2020	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	22/09/2020	
Date analysed	-			22/09/2020	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	22/09/2020	
alpha-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	76	
НСВ	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
beta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	74	
gamma-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
Heptachlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	74	
delta-BHC	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
Aldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	86	
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	83	
gamma-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
alpha-Chlordane	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
Endosulfan I	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
pp-DDE	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	83	
Dieldrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	86	
Endrin	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	82	
Endosulfan II	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
pp-DDD	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	77	
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
pp-DDT	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
Endosulfan Sulphate	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	85	
Methoxychlor	µg/L	0.2	Org-022/025	<0.2	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	
Surrogate TCMX	%		Org-022/025	84	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	[NT]	71	[NT]

QUALITY CO	Duplicate				Spike Recovery %					
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	[NT]
Date extracted	-			21/09/2020	1	21/09/2020	21/09/2020		21/09/2020	[NT]
Date analysed	-			21/09/2020	1	21/09/2020	21/09/2020		21/09/2020	[NT]
Total Phenolics (as Phenol)	mg/L	0.05	Inorg-031	<0.05	1	<0.05	<0.05	0	98	[NT]

QUALI	Duplicate				Spike Recovery %					
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	251568-2
Date prepared	-			18/09/2020	1	18/09/2020	18/09/2020		18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-			18/09/2020	1	18/09/2020	18/09/2020		18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Calcium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	570	560	2	94	[NT]
Potassium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	3.0	3.0	0	92	[NT]
Sodium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	1900	1900	0	97	[NT]
Magnesium - Dissolved	mg/L	0.5	Metals-020	<0.5	1	1100	1100	0	97	[NT]
Hydroxide Alkalinity (OH $^{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!}$) as CaCO $_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!}$	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	<5	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	520	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	<5	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]
Total Alkalinity as CaCO₃	mg/L	5	Inorg-006	<5	1	520	[NT]		110	[NT]
Sulphate, SO4	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	1	47	44	7	119	97
Chloride, Cl	mg/L	1	Inorg-081	<1	1	7000	6800	3	94	#
Ionic Balance	%		Inorg-040	[NT]	1	-1.0	[NT]		[NT]	[NT]

QUALITY COI		Du	plicate	Spike Recovery %						
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W1	251568-2
Date prepared	-			18/09/2020	1	18/09/2020	18/09/2020		18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Date analysed	-			18/09/2020	1	18/09/2020	18/09/2020		18/09/2020	18/09/2020
Ammonia as N in water	mg/L	0.005	Inorg-057	<0.005	1	0.079	0.075	5	107	[NT]
рН	pH Units		Inorg-001	[NT]	1	6.7	[NT]		99	[NT]
Electrical Conductivity	μS/cm	1	Inorg-002	<1	1	19000	[NT]		108	[NT]
Nitrite as N in water	mg/L	0.005	Inorg-055	<0.005	1	0.014	0.014	0	101	[NT]
Total Organic Carbon	mg/L	1	Inorg-079	<1	1	2	2	0	105	76
Fluoride, F	mg/L	0.1	Inorg-026	<0.1	1	<0.1	<0.1	0	96	86

QUALITY CON	Duplicate			Spike Recovery %						
Test Description	Units	PQL	Method	Blank	#	Base	Dup.	RPD	LCS-W2	251568-2
Date prepared	-			21/09/2020	1	21/09/2020	21/09/2020		21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Date analysed	-			21/09/2020	1	21/09/2020	21/09/2020		21/09/2020	21/09/2020
Iron-Dissolved	µg/L	10	Metals-022	<10	1	<10	<10	0	94	90
Manganese-Dissolved	µg/L	5	Metals-022	<5	1	37	37	0	96	81

Result Definiti	ons
NT	Not tested
NA	Test not required
INS	Insufficient sample for this test
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
<	Less than
>	Greater than
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
NS	Not specified
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NR	Not Reported

Quality Control	Quality Control Definitions									
Blank	This is the component of the analytical signal which is not derived from the sample but from reagents, glassware etc, can be determined by processing solvents and reagents in exactly the same manner as for samples.									
Duplicate	This is the complete duplicate analysis of a sample from the process batch. If possible, the sample selected should be one where the analyte concentration is easily measurable.									
Matrix Spike	A portion of the sample is spiked with a known concentration of target analyte. The purpose of the matrix spike is to monitor the performance of the analytical method used and to determine whether matrix interferences exist.									
LCS (Laboratory Control Sample)	This comprises either a standard reference material or a control matrix (such as a blank sand or water) fortified with analytes representative of the analyte class. It is simply a check sample.									
Surrogate Spike	Surrogates are known additions to each sample, blank, matrix spike and LCS in a batch, of compounds which are similar to the analyte of interest, however are not expected to be found in real samples.									

Australian Drinking Water Guidelines recommend that Thermotolerant Coliform, Faecal Enterococci, & E.Coli levels are less than 1cfu/100mL. The recommended maximums are taken from "Australian Drinking Water Guidelines", published by NHMRC & ARMC 2011.

The recommended maximums for analytes in urine are taken from "2018 TLVs and BEIs", as published by ACGIH (where available). Limit provided for Nickel is a precautionary guideline as per Position Paper prepared by AIOH Exposure Standards Committee, 2016.

Guideline limits for Rinse Water Quality reported as per analytical requirements and specifications of AS 4187, Amdt 2 2019, Table 7.2

Laboratory Acceptance Criteria

Duplicate sample and matrix spike recoveries may not be reported on smaller jobs, however, were analysed at a frequency to meet or exceed NEPM requirements. All samples are tested in batches of 20. The duplicate sample RPD and matrix spike recoveries for the batch were within the laboratory acceptance criteria.

Filters, swabs, wipes, tubes and badges will not have duplicate data as the whole sample is generally extracted during sample extraction.

Spikes for Physical and Aggregate Tests are not applicable.

For VOCs in water samples, three vials are required for duplicate or spike analysis.

Duplicates: >10xPQL - RPD acceptance criteria will vary depending on the analytes and the analytical techniques but is typically in the range 20%-50% – see ELN-P05 QA/QC tables for details; <10xPQL - RPD are higher as the results approach PQL and the estimated measurement uncertainty will statistically increase.

Matrix Spikes, LCS and Surrogate recoveries: Generally 70-130% for inorganics/metals (not SPOCAS); 60-140% for organics/SPOCAS (+/-50% surrogates) and 10-140% for labile SVOCs (including labile surrogates), ultra trace organics and speciated phenols is acceptable.

In circumstances where no duplicate and/or sample spike has been reported at 1 in 10 and/or 1 in 20 samples respectively, the sample volume submitted was insufficient in order to satisfy laboratory QA/QC protocols.

When samples are received where certain analytes are outside of recommended technical holding times (THTs), the analysis has proceeded. Where analytes are on the verge of breaching THTs, every effort will be made to analyse within the THT or as soon as practicable.

Where sampling dates are not provided, Envirolab are not in a position to comment on the validity of the analysis where recommended technical holding times may have been breached.

Measurement Uncertainty estimates are available for most tests upon request.

Analysis of aqueous samples typically involves the extraction/digestion and/or analysis of the liquid phase only (i.e. NOT any settled sediment phase but inclusive of suspended particles if present), unless stipulated on the Envirolab COC and/or by correspondence. Notable exceptions include certain Physical Tests (pH/EC/BOD/COD/Apparent Colour etc.), Solids testing, total recoverable metals and PFAS where solids are included by default.

Samples for Microbiological analysis (not Amoeba forms) received outside of the 2-8°C temperature range do not meet the ideal cooling conditions as stated in AS2031-2012.

Report Comments

ION_BALANCE:

Chloride # Percent recovery is not possible to report due to the high concentration of the element/s in the sample/s. However an acceptable recovery was obtained for the LCS.

Dissolved Metals: no filtered, preserved sample was received, therefore the unpreserved sample was filtered through 0.45µm filter at the lab. Note: there is a possibility some elements may be underestimated.

Test PH have exceeded the recommended technical holding times, Envirolab Group form 347 "Recommended Preservation and Holding Times" can be provided on request (available on the Envirolab website)



ATTACHMENT B CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE



ATTACHMENT C DATA LOG

Multi Parameter Water Meter

InstrumentYSI Quatro Pro PlusSerial No.18G103119



1300 137 067

Item	Test	Pass	Comments
Battery	Charge Condition	\checkmark	
	Fuses	✓	
	Capacity	\checkmark	
			7
Switch/keypad	Operation	\checkmark	
Display	Intensity	\checkmark	
	Operation	\checkmark	
	(segments)		
Grill Filter	Condition	\checkmark	
	Seal	√	
PCB	Condition	✓	
Connectors	Condition	1	
Sensor	1. pH	√	
	2. mV	\checkmark	
	3. EC	✓	
	4. D.O	\checkmark	
	5. Temp	\checkmark	
Alarms	Beeper		
	Settings		
Software	Version		
Data logger	Operation		
Download	Operation		
Other tests:			

Certificate of Calibration

This is to certify that the above instrument has been calibrated to the following specifications:

Sensor	Serial no	Standard Solutions	Certified	Solution Bottle	Instrument Reading
				Number	
1. pH 10.00		pH 10.00		352607	pH 9.86
2. pH 7.00		pH 7.00		330737	pH 7.03
3. pH 4.00		pH 4.00		347027	pH 4.02
4. mV		231.8mV		357172/357173	231.8mV-
5. EC		2.76mS		343511	2.76mS
6. D.O		0.00ppm		1904288592	0.05ppm
7. Temp		21.3°C		MultiTherm	21.2°C

Calibrated by:

Eloise Carroll

Calibration date: 14/09/2020

Next calibration due:

14/10/2020